

Atty. Dkt. No. 10015670-1

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) A method for optimizing transparency printing, comprising the steps of:

analyzing a document that is to be printed on a transparency, wherein the step of analyzing the document comprises analyzing font sizes used in the document;

determining whether formatting of the document is optimized for transparency printing; and

alerting a user if the document formatting is not optimized for transparency printing.

2. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of receiving an indication that a document is to be printed on a transparency prior to analyzing the document.

3. (Canceled)

4. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of analyzing the document comprises analyzing colors used to create the document.

5. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of analyzing the document comprises analyzing the printing resolution to be used to print the document.

6. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of determining whether the document formatting is optimized for transparency printing comprises determining whether the document formatting will result in a clear, high resolution projected image.

Atty. Dkt. No. 10015670-1

7. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of alerting a user if the document formatting is not optimized for transparency printing comprises facilitating presentation of a warning dialogue box to the user.
8. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of suggesting alternative formatting where the document formatting is not optimized for transparency printing.
9. (Original) The method of claim 8, further comprising the step of automatically adjusting the document formatting for the user such that the document formatting is optimized for transparency printing.
10. (Currently Amended) A system for optimizing transparency printing, comprising:
means for analyzing a document;
means for determining whether the document formatting is optimized for transparency printing, wherein the means for analyzing the document comprise means for analyzing printing resolution to be used to print the document; and
means for alerting a user if the document formatting is not optimized for transparency printing.
11. (Original) The system of claim 10, wherein the means for analyzing the document comprise means for analyzing font sizes used in the document.
12. (Original) The system of claim 10, wherein the means for analyzing the document comprise means for analyzing colors used to create the document.
13. (Canceled)

Atty. Dkt. No. 10015670-1

14. (Original) A method for optimizing transparency scanning; comprising the steps of:

analyzing a document to be scanned to determine whether the document is a transparency document;

determining whether the scanning resolution is appropriate for scanning a transparency where the document is determined to be a transparency document; and

alerting a user if the scanning resolution is not appropriate for scanning a transparency where the document is a transparency document and the scanning resolution is inappropriate.

15. (Original) The method of claim 14, wherein the step of analyzing the document comprises conducting an initial scan of the document and detecting the reflectivity observed during the initial scan.

16. (Original) The method of claim 14, wherein the step of analyzing the document comprises conducting an initial scan of the document and detecting the brightness observed during the initial scan.

17. (Original) The method of claim 14, wherein the step of determining whether the scanning resolution is appropriate comprises determining whether a selected scanning resolution is at least a minimum scanning resolution threshold.

18. (Original) The method of claim 14, wherein the step of alerting a user if the scanning resolution is not appropriate for scanning a transparency comprises facilitating presentation of a warning dialogue box to the user.

19. (Original) The method of claim 14, further comprising the step of suggesting an alternative scanning resolution where the scanning resolution is not optimized for transparency scanning.

Atty. Dkt. No. 10015670-1

20. (Original) The method of claim 19, further comprising the step of automatically adjusting the scanning resolution such that it is optimized for transparency scanning.

21. (Original) A system for optimizing transparency scanning; comprising:
means for analyzing a document to be scanned to determine whether the document is a transparency document;

means for determining whether the scanning resolution is appropriate for scanning a transparency where the document is determined to be a transparency document; and

means for alerting a user if the scanning resolution is not appropriate for scanning a transparency where the document is a transparency document and the scanning resolution is inappropriate.

22. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein the means for analyzing the document comprise means for detecting the reflectivity observed during an initial scan of the transparency document.

23. (Original) The system of claim 21, wherein the means for analyzing the document comprise means for detecting the brightness observed during an initial scan of the transparency document.